	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/663,383	PRASAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etienne P. LeRoux	2161
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) Etienne P. LeRoux.	(3)	
(2) Christian A Fox.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 July 2006	Time: <u>9:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	he examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Mr. Christian Fox to propose amending the independent claims (except claim 13) in order to advance prosecutioin. Agreement was obtained regarding examiner's proposed amendment and consequently Mr. Fox provided a Supplemental Amendment (included in Office action).